THE HARMAN FIRM, LLP

Attorneys & Counselors At Law www.theharmanfirm.com

April 2, 2016

VIA ECF

Hon. Vernon S. Broderick United States District Court Southern District of New York 40 Foley Square, Room 415 New York, New York 10007

Re: Randy Umanzor v. The New York City Police Department 14 Civ. 9850 (VSB)(KNF)

Dear Judge Broderick:

We represent Plaintiff Randy Umanzor in the above-referenced employment discrimination action. We write to respectfully request that Docket Entry 61 be stricken and deleted from the docket and that Docket Entry 62 be accepted as timely filed *nunc pro tunc*.

In Docket Entry 61, two documents—#4 Ex. C, Disqualification Letter and #5 Ex. D, July Letter—were inadvertently filed without having first been redacted. At present, Plaintiff's date of birth and social security number appear on the docket. Once counsel learned of these errors and the mechanism to fix them, we filed Docket Entry 62, which is identical to Docket Entry 61 except those errors were corrected. *See* Electronic Case Filing Rules & Instructions 14.11 (requiring counsel to file a corrected entry upon learning of a mistaken filing). As such, Plaintiff respectfully requests that Docket Entry 61 be stricken and deleted from the docket and that Docket Entry 62 be accepted as timely filed *nunc pro tunc*.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

Jall 8 Haran fr

Walker G. Harman, Jr.

cc: Michael F. Fleming (via ECF)
Joseph D. Lockinger (via ECF)